

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/914,270	09/24/2001	Gerd Geisslinger	016915-0244	2372
7590 04/08/2004		EXAMINER		
Richard L Schwaab			WANG, SHENGJUN	
Foley & Lardner Washington Harbour			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3000 K Street NW Suite 500			1617	
Washington, DC 20007-5109			DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/914,270	GEISSLINGER ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shengjun Wang	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ntion. A proper reply to a not places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li> </ul>	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
, ,		ace NOTE belowly				
(a) \( \sum \) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below),				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		rially raduaing or aimplifying the				
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>						
(d) Method they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>The addition of new claims would require</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-34</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)  disapproved by t	he Examiner. SHENO				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  8.  The drawing correction filed on is a) app  9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	PRIMARY EXAMINER				
10. Other:						
		Shengjun Wang				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons set forth in the prior office action. particularly, as stated in the prior office action, the elucidation of a mechanism of action does not patentably distinguish the instant claims over the prior art..